## American Bar Association Forum on the Construction Industry 2012 Fall Meeting

CONSTRUCTION COUNSELING Pulling together for a Winning Strategy

## CONSTRUCTION LAW PROGRAM FOR STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT ATTORNEYS AND UNIVERSITY COUNSEL

Segment 1—Project Delivery/Form of Agreement

Kristine A. Kubes, Kubes Law Office, PLLC Suzanne M. McSorley, Stevens & Lee, PA

## I. First Principles

- A. Communications
  - 1. Importance of open communications among all players during the entire design and construction process
  - 2. Impact of open communications on:
    - a. Change control
    - b. Cost control
    - c. Delay control
    - d. Dispute control
  - 3. Formal structures to aid communications
    - a. Partnering
    - b. Big room
    - c. DRB
- B. Selection of Project Delivery Method
  - 1. Project Delivery method may be limited by statute. For example, in Pennsylvania, in general, publicly-funded projects must be performed on a multiple prime basis. Similar statutes are in place in New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Wisconsin.

- 2. Different project delivery systems tend to maximize different areas of owner concern with regard to
  - a. cost /cost predictability
  - b. time
  - c. design quality
  - d. claims/dispute frequency
  - e. other concerns including safety, minimizing the administrative burden on Owner personnel
- 3. Subject to the statutory limitations in some jurisdictions regarding permissible project delivery methods, most common methods are:
  - a. design-bid-construct
    - i. owner contracts with architect to design and when design complete, contracts with a contractor to build, usually for a fixed price
    - ii. advantages: design is (should be) complete, so high(er) cost certainty and few(er) disputes; checks and balances between architect and contractor; familiarity
    - iii. risks: not as much of an opportunity for constructability reviews, value engineering, etc.; longer delivery timetable; claims experience;
  - b. multiple primes (variant of design-bid-build)
    - i. instead of a single Owner-Contractor agreement, Owner contracts with multiple trades as "prime contractors"
    - ii. advantages: increased cost competitiveness
    - iii. disadvantages: increased coordination responsibility (and contractual risk) on Owner; no single point of responsibility to owner for performance and completion of construction
  - c. construction management agency
    - i. CM becomes consultant to owner
    - ii. essentially a variant of multiple primes, with the owner adding an advisor (the agent construction manager) to its team

- iii. but Owner can retain construction manager earlier in the design process and thereby get CM input on constructability, value engineering, etc.
- d. construction management at risk
  - i. many commercial variants here fixed price, cost plus a fee, cost plus a fee subject to a GMP
  - ii. major other difference from design-bid-build is owner can/should retain the construction manager earlier in the process (i.e., before design completed) for constructability review, cost estimating, scheduling and value engineering
- e. design-build
  - i. owner retains a single firm to both design and build project
  - ii. advantages: single point of responsibility for project; faster project delivery
  - iii. disadvantages: owner cedes control over project; greater responsibility for owner to accurately describe and define the desired project outcome early in the process; less cost predictability
- II. Design Issues and Considerations
  - A. Defining designer's relationship with owner and its scope of services
    - 1. Dependent on Project Delivery Method examine critical differences
      - a. Traditional design-bid-build
      - b. Design-Build
      - c. Construction Manager
      - d. Private Public Partnership
    - 2. Based on type of project delivery method:
      - a. Will designer be agent of owner?
      - b. Will designer be agent of contractor?
    - 3. Identify scope of services required from design team

- B. Defining project scope
  - 1. Importance of accurately and thoroughly defining the scope of work included within the construction contract including all timing and occupancy constraints
- C. Defining project budget
  - 1. Understanding relationship between time and money
  - 2. Owner responsibility and impact on budget?
  - 3. Designer responsibility and impact on budget?
  - 4. Owner's obligation to pay for work once at negotiated price
- D. Impact of owner's responsiveness to requests for decisions from design team
  - 1. Time and dollar considerations
  - 2. Contract terms will require timely response by owner
- E. Intellectual property issues related to the instruments of service
  - 1. Defining instruments of service
  - 2. What format are the plans to be in upon delivery?
  - 3. What format will the plans be shared with:
    - a. the design team internally
    - b. the contractor
    - c. the owner for maintenance and operations
  - 4. Who owns the copyright to the instruments of service?
    - a. History of copyright for architectural works
      - i. Baker v. Seldon, 101 U.S. 99 (1879)
      - ii. Schotz Homes, Inc. v. Maddox, 379 F.2d 84 (6th Cir. 1967)
    - b. Current national standard Architectural Works Copyright Protection Act – AWCPA, 17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.
  - 5. Alternate ways of gaining access to and use of the instruments of service:

- a. Licenses
- b. AIA forms
- 6. Implications of BIM
  - a. Financial implications of construction model
  - b. Financial implications of owner's model for maintenance/operations
  - c. Management issues:
    - i. Who controls and manages the model?
    - ii. Who is responsible for the coordination of the model?
    - iii. Who is liable for the model from design perspective?
    - iv. Who is liable for the model tech/hardware perspective?
- F. Defining authority of designer during construction phase
  - 1. Defining "construction administration"
    - a. Defining scope of services available during construction phase
  - 2. Specifying role designer will play
  - 3. Understanding financial and practical implications to owner of contracting for design services through construction administration
  - 4. Evaluator of project disputes?
- III. Owner's Project Controls and Project Management
  - A. Project Controls
    - 1. impact schedule, change management, payment applications and documentation and pricing of changes and documentation of changes
      - a. e.g., claims releases need included from contractors with each payment application
      - b. what kind of reporting does the constructor owe to the owner and on what basis (weekly, monthly?, bi-monthly?)

- 2. Owner needs to make sure that Owner's internal project controls process has been thought through/established and that everyone within the Owner organization (or on the Owner team) understands and can operate within the project controls
  - a. Contract provisions must support/conform with owner's intended project controls procedure
  - b. Make sure that owner personnel adhere to the project controls procedures and demand that the constructor(s) do so too in the construction phase
- B. Project Management
  - 1. For a project of any significant size/scope, Owner needs to have internal construction management expertise or have contracted "owner's rep/project management." Principle responsibilities include:
    - a. representing owner in regular job meetings, in translating owner requests and requirements into the work itself (and working within the owner organization to "reality-test" owner expectations and requirements
    - b. review and approval (or rejection) of payment applications (in consultation/coordination with the project controls folks)
      - i. this sometimes is the role of the design professional here?)
    - c. estimation or confirmation of % progress on Project, and satisfactory (or not) nature of the work
    - d. cash flow Owner must pay for undisputed work
    - e. does contractor have the right to walk for non-payment?
    - f. deal with disagreements and disputes as promptly as possible (but certainly at least as promptly as required by the contract documents)
- IV. Allocation of Risk
  - A. Issues of frequent concern arising between owner/contractor
    - 1. Constructability of plans U.S. v. Spearin, 248 U.S. 132 (1918)
      - a. Owner warrants constructability of plans when it or its agent designer presents plans for construction

- b. Contractor not liable for design issues
- c. Owner's practical considerations in view of this obligation